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## Elements of Programming

Every non-trivial programming language provides:

- primitive expressions representing the simplest elements
- ways to combine expressions
- ways to abstract expressions, which introduce a name for an expression by which it can then be referred to.


## The Read-Eval-Print Loop

Functional programming is a bit like using a calculator
An interactive shell (or REPL, for Read-Eval-Print-Loop) lets one write expressions and responds with their value.

The Scala REPL can be started by simply typing
> scala

## Expressions

Here are some simple interactions with the REPL
scala> $87+145$
232
Functional programming languages are more than simple calcululators because they let one define values and functions:
scala> def size = 2
size: => Int
scala> 5 * size
10

## Evaluation

A non-primitive expression is evaluated as follows.

1. Take the leftmost operator
2. Evaluate its operands (left before right)
3. Apply the operator to the operands

A name is evaluated by replacing it with the right hand side of its definition

The evaluation process stops once it results in a value
A value is a number (for the moment)
Later on we will consider also other kinds of values

## Example
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## Example

Here is the evaluation of an arithmetic expression:
(2 * pi) * radius
(2 * 3.14159$)$ * radius
6.28318 * radius
6.28318 * 10
62.8318

## Parameters

Definitions can have parameters. For instance:

```
scala> def square(x: Double) = x * x
square: (Double)Double
scala> square(2)
4.0
scala> square(5 + 4)
81.0
scala> square(square(4))
256.0
def sumOfSquares(x: Double, y: Double) = square(x) + square(y)
sumOfSquares: (Double,Double)Double
```


## Parameter and Return Types

Function parameters come with their type, which is given after a colon
def power(x: Double, y: Int): Double = ...
If a return type is given, it follows the parameter list.
Primitive types are as in Java, but are written capitalized, e.g:
Int 32-bit integers
Double 64-bit floating point numbers
Boolean boolean values true and false

## Evaluation of Function Applications

Applications of parameterized functions are evaluated in a similar way as operators:

1. Evaluate all function arguments, from left to right
2. Replace the function application by the function's right-hand side, and, at the same time
3. Replace the formal parameters of the function by the actual arguments.
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## Example

```
sumOfSquares(3, 2+2)
sumOfSquares(3, 4)
square(3) + square(4)
3 * 3 + square(4)
9 + square(4)
9 + 4 * 4
9 + 16
2 5
```


## The substitution model

This scheme of expression evaluation is called the substitution model.

The idea underlying this model is that all evaluation does is reduce an expression to a value.

It can be applied to all expressions, as long as they have no side effects.

The substitution model is formalized in the $\lambda$-calculus, which gives a foundation for functional programming.

## Termination

- Does every expression reduce to a value (in a finite number of steps)?


## Termination

- Does every expression reduce to a value (in a finite number of steps)?
- No. Here is a counter-example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { def loop: Int }=\text { loop } \\
& \text { loop } \longrightarrow \operatorname{loop} \rightarrow \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Changing the evaluation strategy

The interpreter reduces function arguments to values before rewriting the function application.
One could alternatively apply the function to unreduced arguments.
For instance:

```
sumOfSquares(3, 2+2)
square(3) + square(2+2)
3 * 3 + square(2+2)
9 + square(2+2)
9 + (2+2) * (2+2)
9 + 4 * (2+2)
9 + 4 * 4
25
```


## Call-by-name and call-by-value

The first evaluation strategy is known as call-by-value, the second is is known as call-by-name.

Both strategies reduce to the same final values as long as

- the reduced expression consists of pure functions, and
- both evaluations terminate.

Call-by-value has the advantage that it evaluates every function argument only once.
Call-by-name has the advantage that a function argument is not evaluated if the corresponding parameter is unused in the evaluation of the function body.

## Call-by-name vs call-by-value

Question: Say you are given the following function definition:

```
def test(x: Int, y: Int) = x * x
```

For each of the following function applications, indicate which evaluation strategy is fastest (has the fewest reduction steps)

| CBV | CBN | same |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fastest | fastest | \#steps |


| 0 | 0 | 0 | $\operatorname{test}(2,3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $\operatorname{test}(3+4,8)$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $\operatorname{test}(7,2 * 4)$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $\operatorname{test}(3+4,2 * 4)$ |

Call-by-name vs call-by-value


